

DEW  
Schirmer 1 - Pflugfelder B

DEW	<b>DRY EYE: DIAGNOSTIC TEST TEMPLATE</b>	
RAPPORTEUR	A.J.Bron	18 <sup>th</sup> Oct 2004
TEST	<b>Schirmer I test without anesthesia.</b>	
TO DIAGNOSE	A reduction in reflex tear flow. Correlations with symptoms, MGD and tear clearance	REFERENCES
VERSION of TEST	[ V 1 ]	Afonso et al. 1999
DESCRIPTION	An estimation of tear flow stimulated reflexly by insertion of a filter paper into the conjunctival sac.	
NATURE of STUDY	40 patients with irritative symptoms (various exclusions) 40 normal controls of similar age (various exclusions)	
CONDUCT of TESTS	<p><b>A number of tests were carried out in this study: ie.</b></p> <p><b>Questionnaire:</b> 12 questions, on nature, severity and impact. Minimum severity 0; greatest 56.</p> <p><b>MGD</b> as in Pflugfelder 1998</p> <p><b>Corneal fluorescein stain</b> (NEI Lemp 1995) scale</p> <p><b>Schirmer 1</b> without anaesthesia:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eyes open</li> <li>• Short part of paper placed over lower lid margin at junction of middle and lateral third</li> <li>• Read at 5 minutes</li> </ul> <p>(See Schirmer Pflugfelder A)</p> <p><b>Cochet-Bonnet</b> aesthesiometry</p> <p><b>Tear Clearance:</b> 5ul of 2% fluorescein. Sample collected at 15 minutes using a polyester, Transorb rod. Also an detailed study of clearance kinetics. See original article:</p> <p><b>FCT</b> = Fluorescein Clearance Test</p> <p><b>Fluorometry:</b></p>	Pflugfelder, Tseng 1998
Web Video	Not available	
Materials:		
Standardization	Time of day [√] Temperature [√] Humidity [√] Air speed [√] Illumination [√]. Assumed to influence.	
Repeatability	Intra-observer agreement. [ - ] Inter-observer agreement. [ - ]	
Sensitivity	<b>(true positives)</b> [ - ]	
Specificity	<b>(100 – false positives)</b> [ - ]	
Other Stats	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Schirmer score correlates inversely with ocular symptom severity (Spearman's rho <math>-0.39</math>, <math>P &lt; 0.001</math>)</li> <li>• Schirmer score correlates inversely with log tear fluorescein concn. At 15 minutes (Fig 5) (<math>r = -0.585</math>, <math>P &lt; 0.001</math>). [note: upper Schirmer values vignettted]. ie low schirmers associated with delayed clearance.</li> <li>• A Receiver Operator plot indicates that the FCT had a higher Sensitivity for identifying cases of ocular</li> </ul>	Afonso et al. 1999

DEW  
Schirmer 1 - Pflugfelder B

	<p>irritation, than the Schirmer 1 test, for Specificities ranging from 40-100% (Fig 3).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Corneal Stain Scores:</b> low Schirmer and delayed clearance correlate with corneal staining: Stain score showed greater correlation with Schirmer test scores (Spearman's rho - -0.39, P&lt; 0.001) than with log tear fluorescein clearance (Spearman's rho - -0.263, P&lt; 0.018).</li> <li>• <b>Corneal sensitivity</b> correlated with reduced fluorescein clearance (Spearman's rho - -0.38, P&lt; 0.003) and reduced Schirmer (Spearman's rho - -0.39, P&lt; 0.002).</li> <li>• <b>MG Drop out</b> correlates inversely with Schirmer score (P &lt; 0.001 – analysis of variance).</li> <li>• <b>MG orifice metaplasia</b> correlated positively with clearance and negatively with Schirmer scores</li> <li>• MG Drop out correlates positively with log FC ((P &lt; 0.001 –analysis of variance).</li> </ul> <table border="1" data-bbox="443 869 1086 1272"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">Normals v Symptomatic subjects Afonso et al. 1997</th> </tr> <tr> <th>% MG acinar loss</th> <th>Schirmer mm</th> <th>Log Tear Fluor concn (fl units)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>0-33%</td> <td>23.92 ± 7.58</td> <td>1.86 ± 0.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>34-66%</td> <td>15.60 ± 8.52</td> <td>2.50 ± 0.73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>67-100%</td> <td>13.04 ± 10.16*</td> <td>3.07 ± 0.75*</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>MG metaplasia</td> <td>14.47 ± 8.53</td> <td>2.81 ± 0.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>No metaplasia</td> <td>23.14 ± 7.67Ψ</td> <td>1.83 ± 0.71Ψ</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">* P &lt; 0.001, 0-66% v 67-100% acinar loss. ANOVA Ψ P &lt; 0.001, metaplasia v no metaplasia.</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Normals v Symptomatic subjects Afonso et al. 1997			% MG acinar loss	Schirmer mm	Log Tear Fluor concn (fl units)	0-33%	23.92 ± 7.58	1.86 ± 0.78	34-66%	15.60 ± 8.52	2.50 ± 0.73	67-100%	13.04 ± 10.16*	3.07 ± 0.75*				MG metaplasia	14.47 ± 8.53	2.81 ± 0.78	No metaplasia	23.14 ± 7.67Ψ	1.83 ± 0.71Ψ				* P < 0.001, 0-66% v 67-100% acinar loss. ANOVA Ψ P < 0.001, metaplasia v no metaplasia.						
Normals v Symptomatic subjects Afonso et al. 1997																																			
% MG acinar loss	Schirmer mm	Log Tear Fluor concn (fl units)																																	
0-33%	23.92 ± 7.58	1.86 ± 0.78																																	
34-66%	15.60 ± 8.52	2.50 ± 0.73																																	
67-100%	13.04 ± 10.16*	3.07 ± 0.75*																																	
MG metaplasia	14.47 ± 8.53	2.81 ± 0.78																																	
No metaplasia	23.14 ± 7.67Ψ	1.83 ± 0.71Ψ																																	
* P < 0.001, 0-66% v 67-100% acinar loss. ANOVA Ψ P < 0.001, metaplasia v no metaplasia.																																			
<b>Test problems</b>	Note: Only corneal stain measured in this study – not conjunctival																																		
Glossary	<b>FCT</b> = Fluorescein Clearance Test <b>FC</b> = Fluorescein Clearance																																		

**References:**

Afonso AA, Monroy D, Stern ME, et al. Correlation of tear fluorescein clearance and Schirmer test scores with ocular irritation symptoms. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:803-10

Pflugfelder S C, Tseng SC, Sanabria O, et al. Evaluation of subjective assessments and objective diagnostic tests for diagnosing tear-film disorders known to cause ocular irritation. *Cornea* 1998;17:38-56.